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This analysis includes placement results for students who applied to De Anza College and received a math placement via high school transcripts, received an open access math placement, or took the guided self-placement between June 26, 2019 and October 19, 2019.

In fall 2018, students were given a placement directly into MATH41 based on their high school transcript data. Any higher level placements (MATH42, 43, 1A) were earned by a placement test. MATH10, 11, 44 and 46 were open entry courses.

In fall 2019, students were placed into MATH10 + MATH210 (co-requisite course) or MATH10 by high school transcript data. Students also earned placement into MATH41 + MATH231 (corequisite course, MATH41, 42, 43 or 1A by high school transcript data. MATH10, 11, 44 and 46 remained open entry courses.

Starting on June 26, 2019, students were able to take a guided self-placement if they did not have high school transcript data (these students should have already received a MATH11, 44 or 46 placement) or to potentially improve their math placement. Students were able to take the guided self-placement twice. The guided self-placement assessment placed students into MATH10 + MATH210 (co-requisite course) or MATH10 and into MATH41 + MATH231 (co-requisite course), MATH41, 42 or 1A.

Since students were given multiple placements based on open-entry courses, and had the option to take the guided self-placement two times, this analysis includes the highest placement by high school transcript and the highest placement via guided self-placement. Below is the order of placements that were used:

Table 1. Placement Hierarchy - Lowest to Highest Placement

| Placement via High School Transcript - <br> Statistics Pathway | Placement via High School Transcript - <br> Calculus Pathway | Placement via Guided Self-Placement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No high school transcript | No high school transcript | MATH44 and MATH46 |
| MATH44 and MATH46 | MATH44 and MATH46 | MATH11 |
| MATH10 + MATH210x | MATH41 + MATH231x | MATH10 + MATH210x |
| MATH11 | MATH41 | MATH10 |
| MATH10 | MATH42 | MATH41 + MATH231x |
| Note: Due to a data coding issue for HS <br> placement, MATH11 is coded as a higher <br> score than MATH10+210x. | MATH43 | MATH41 |
|  | MATH1A | MATH42 |

## Highlights

- Students are receiving similar placements via high school transcripts as via guided selfplacement for MATH10 and MATH1A, but a higher rate of MATH42 placements via GSP.
- However, when looking at just the highest placement a student received, students who took the GSP had a higher rate of receiving a MATH1A placement over their high school GPA placement.
- The highest proportion of students are receiving MATH11, 44 or 46 placements since they are open enrollment, however, only a few sections of these courses are offered each quarter.

Table 2. Distribution of Placement by High School Transcripts and Guided Self-Placement


- Between June 26, 2019 and October 19, 2019, 32,964 placements were given out to fall 2019 applicants. $21,208(64 \%)$ were given an open access placement, $11,756(36 \%)$ students were placed by high school transcripts.
- For students placed into an open access course, $54 \%$ were given a MATH44 or 46 placement while $46 \%$ were given a MATH11 placement.
- For students placed via high school transcripts, $43 \%$ received a MATH10 placement followed by $25 \%$ receiving a MATH1A placement and $16 \%$ receiving a MATH41+231x placement.
- For students placed via guided self-placement, $45 \%$ were placed into MATH10, 28\% into MATH1A, and $16 \%$ into MATH42.

Table 3. Distribution of Highest Placement by High School Transcripts and Guided SelfPlacement

| Open Access | Students | \% | HS Placement | Students | \% | Self-Placement | Students | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MATHII | 5,598 | 100\% | MATHI0+210x | 1 | 0\% | MATHIO | 1 | 0\% |
| MATH44 or 46 | - | - | MATHIO | 886 | 12\% | MATHI0+210x | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 5,598 | 100\% | MATH4I+23Ix | 2,071 | 28\% | MATH4I+23Ix | 59 | 10\% |
|  |  |  | MATH4I | 1,324 | 18\% | MATH4I | 24 | 4\% |
|  |  |  | MATH42 | 29 | 0\% | MATH42 | 173 | 28\% |
|  |  |  | MATH43 | 71 | 1\% | MATH43 | - | - |
|  |  |  | MATHIA | 3,043 | 41\% | MATHIA | 364 | 59\% |
|  |  |  | Total | 7,425 | 100\% | Total | 621 | 100\% |

Note: Due to a data coding issue for HS placement, MATH11 is coded as a higher score than MATH10+210x.

- Of students who received an open access placement, all were placed in MATH11 as the highest placement.
- Of students who were placed via high school transcripts $41 \%$ were placed into MATH1A, $28 \%$ received MATH41+231, 18\% received MATH41 and $12 \%$ received MATH10.
- 621 students took the guided self-placement. $59 \%$ were placed into MATH1A, $28 \%$ into MATH42, and $10 \%$ into MATH41+231x.

Table 3. Comparison of Highest High School Placement to Highest Guided Self-Placement
Guided Self-Placement

| HSGPA | Guided Self-Placement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MATHIO | MATH4I | MATH4I+23I | MATH42 | MATHIA | No GSP |  |
|  | Students | Students | Students | Students | Students | Students |  |
| MATHIO |  |  |  | 5 | 41 | 842 |  |
| MATHIO+210 |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |
| MATH4I |  | 2 | I | 7 | 21 | 1,298 |  |
| MATH4I + 231 |  | 2 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 2,043 |  |
| MATH42 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 26 | GSP is.... |
| MATH43 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 67 | Lower |
| MATHIA |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3,043 | Same |
| No HSGPA |  | 3 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 1,552 | Higher |
| Total | I | 7 | 7 | 27 | 97 | 8,872 |  |

Note: MATH11 placements were emitted as it is open access.

- 621 students took a guided self-placement. Of the 122 students who took the GSP who had a high school transcript placement, 106 (87\%) received a higher placement than via high school transcripts while 16 (13\%) received the same or lower placement via GSP.

